

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,499	10/02/2003	Chu-Jung Shih	TOPP0012USA	2498
27765	7590 11/02/20	05	EXAMINER	
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION			GOUDREAU, GEORGE A	
P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WEIGHT IEE	D, VI 22110		1763	

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

···	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/605,499	SHIH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	George A. Goudrea	u 1763				
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sh	neet with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COM 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however cation. ory period will apply and will expire SIX by statute, cause the application to be	MUNICATION. , may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on (10-2-03' to 8-2-05').	•				
•	<u> </u>					
·		owance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the app	lication.					
, ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election requireme	ent.				
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		ted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		•	CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	·		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	Totolgh phoney and or or	(a) (a) (b)				
	cuments have been receive	ed.	•			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<u> </u>	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International						
* See the attached detailed Office action for	•					
		GEORGE GOUDREAU PRIMARY EXAMINER	When			
Attachment(s)	,, — ,					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- 		erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	O/SB/08) 5) No	tice of Informal Patent Application (Piner:	ΓΟ-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/605,499

Art Unit: 1763

1. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- -In line 1 of claim 1, the term "displaydevice" should read "display device".;
- -In the claims, the term "photo-etching-process" should read "photo-etching process".;
- -The last two lines of claim 7 are written in a very confusing manner, and should be reworded.;
- -The last two lines of claim 9 are written in a very confusing manner, and should be reworded.; and
- -In line 4 of claim 13, the term "asilicon" should read "a silicon".
- 2. A substitute specification excluding the claims is required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125(a) because there are numerous misspelled words in the specification.

A substitute specification must not contain new matter. The substitute specification must be submitted with markings showing all the changes relative to the immediate prior version of the specification of record. The text of any added subject matter must be shown by underlining the added text. The text of any deleted matter must be shown by strike-through except that double brackets placed before and after the deleted characters may be used to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters. The text of any deleted subject matter must be shown by being placed within double brackets if strike-through cannot be easily perceived. An accompanying clean version (without markings) and a statement that the substitute specification

Application/Control Number: 10/605,499 Page 3

Art Unit: 1763

contains no new matter must also be supplied. Numbering the paragraphs of the specification of record is not considered a change that must be shown.

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner George A. Goudreau at telephone number (571)-272-1434.

George A. Goudres Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1763